## D. Greenglass

- he show you the watch! A Yes, he did.
- Q What sort of a watch was it? A An Omega sweep-second hand worch.
  - i Omegal A Yes.
  - G Gold? A No. I believe it was silver.
- O Stainless steel? A I don't know, because I am quite: color blind and I wouldn't know.
- Q that sort of a band 31d it have? A It has a leather strap last I saw it.
- as it inscribed at all? A No; no inscription at all.
- Did he ever say he had received any citations or commendations? A Yes, it went along with the watch.
- communications? A My sister has never spoken to me about this subject.
- Q Now in November of 1944 your wife Buth visited you in Albuquerque, is that correct? A Yes.
- @ And the occasion, I believe, was tocelebrate your wadding anniversary? A Yes.
- few days -- is that correct? A I got a three day pass and a weekend pass; it came to about five days.
- Q Sometime juring this particular furlough did you have a conversation with your wife regarding a talk that/she NW: 15301 DocId: 70001179 Page 12 had high diwith Julius Rosenberg? A Yes.

not want to stay there. We wanted to come hexe.

Did Ethel also try to persuede you to try to stay in the Arry? . I said before, and say it again, honestly, this is a fact: I never spoke to my sister about this at all.

- the army? A Pobruary 1946, the less day.
  - February 28, 1946: A Yes.
- It was the last day of February. I remarkber, because they said; they sen't you stay an extra day ind you will get unother month's pay?"
- what still you so when you came out of the Army?

  E Tell, Itak a vacation for about a month and then I went into business.
- Abom did you work for? A ell, I went into business.

  I worked with my crother and my brother-in-law and an account
  - with twee it known ast A C. & R. Magineuring Company.
- That did G. & R. meen, Greenglass and Rosenberg?
  A It mount Greenglass, Greenglass, Goldstein and Forenberg.
  - a that did they be: a It was a machine shop.
  - a the westbe coturn head of it? A Resemberg.
- Q Was the company subsequently incorporated?

  "Yes; later on it becase Pitt Micrin's Products, Inc.

NW: 15301 DocId: 7000 1179 Page 30 and we had another man came in whose name

GOVERNMENT

TO : LR. A LADD

DATE: July 17, 1950

PROM : A. M. BELLIOTT

SUBJECT:

JULIUS ROSEMBERG ESPIONAGE - R.

At 1:20 pm, I attempted to reach Mr. James McInerney to furnish him with the summary memorandum dated July 17, 1950, regarding Julius Rosenberg. Mr. LcInerney was at lunch. I was successful in reaching him at 2:40 pm, at which time Supervisor Robert Lamphere and I furnished him with the original of the memorandum to the Attorney General and Mr. McInerney copy. Mr. McInerney thoroughly digested the memorandum and rendered the following opinion.

Relative to the unknown subject Aine, Mr. McInerney stated that there was insufficient evidence at this time to warrant process against this subject, inasmuch as she did not carry out the proposed meet with Ruth ereenglass at Albuquerque and the evidence at this time against her is confined to a statement by Ruth Greenglass.

Relative to subject Ethe! \*\*sosenberg, Mr. McInerney advised that there is insufficient evidence to issue process against her at this time. He advised that the evidence against her depends upon the statement of Buth Greenglass that Ethel Rosenberg talked her into going to Albuquerque to see David Cheenglass to see if he would cooperate with the Russians in furnishing information. Mr. McInerney requested that any additional information concerning Ethel Acsenberg be furnished the Department. He was of the opinion that it might be possible to utilize her as a lever against her husbard.

With reference to subject Ruth Greenglass, Mr. McInerney was of the opinion that it was not desirable to get out process against her at this time, inasmuch as she is cooperating and it may be necessary to use her as a witness against Julius mosenberg. It was pointed out to Mr. McInerney that Ruth Greenglass has confessed complicity in this matter and has admitted carrying information in David Greenglass to Julius Rosenberg. However, ir. McLinerney was of the opinion that it was more desirable not to proceed against her at this time. In this respect, it is noted that in the last paragraph of our memorandum to the Attorner General dated July 17, 1950, we suggest that the Department may desire to constiwith Mr. Irving Saypol with respect to his conversation with Mr. C. John Rogge regarding the cooperation on the part of David and Ruth Greenglass. Fr. McInstrate called Mr. Saypol in our presence and ascertained that Rogge has not contacted Saypol since his conversation with him in which Rogge offered cooperation of Greenglass and his wife. Er. Rogge's associate, Er. Goldman, did call Er. Eager and ask whether muth Greenglass and her child could see David Greenglass. (The New York Office has edvised us that arrangements have been made for this = July 18, 1950.) Er. Eclnerney stated that at the minute the original converse between Saypol and Rogge, Hogge asked Saypol white commence he had that the cooperation of the Greenglasses would be taken into-account. ; paypol replied "on his integrity as an attorney." Mr. McInerney advised that he thought Says had gone too far; that no assurances whatsoever should have been given. Formal this statement by Saypol has some bearing on the failure to authorize against \_ Greenglass, although this is by no means certain.

Extracory

## Federal Aureau of Livestigation United States Department of Instice

New York 7, How York

PERSONAL A MARTINARY L

Director, FBI

RE: JULIUS ROS MINERG, ET AL ESPICIMOS - 3

Dear Sir:

The following information was obtained from MYLES J. LANE, Chief Assistant United States Attorney, Southern District of New York, and is being furnished to the Sureau for its information.

On January 29, 1951, Mr. LANE conferred with the officials of the Atomic Energy Cormission at Washington, D. C., at which time he discussed with them the introduction into evidence at the trial of LOSENBERG of the material which DATE COSENBERS had given to both HARRY COLD and JULIUS ROSENBERG.

As a result of this conference, the Atomic Energy Cormission, on February 2,-1951, sent five of its representatives to New York City to interrogate DAVID GRENGLASS in order to extract from him all of his knowledge concerning the atom bomb. At the termination of this conference, which was held in Mr. LANE'S office, the representatives of the Atomic Energy Cormission advised that they would make known to the Atomic Energy Cormission the result of their interview of DAVID GREAUGLASS.

They stated that the information supplied by DAVID GRINGLASS was COT to 90% accurate. They also stated that the Secretary of Defense, '020000 C. MASSIMLL, had been made aware of the instant problem pertaining to the introduction of this evidence and he was reported to have said that the information should be declassified for the purposes of the trial because to do otherwise would lead the people of the United States to have a false sense of security.

Secretary MASMAL also indicated that he favored the death penalty for the defendants in this case.

At the direction of the Department of Justice, Mr. AME appeared in Mashington, D. C., before Commissioners MCCAY, DEAM, and PIKE, of the Atomic Emergy Commission, on the norming of February 6, 1991.

Ltr. to Director, FBI

At this conference, Mr. LAME discussed the information which GREENGLASS had given to MARRY GOLD and JULIUS ROSENBERG. The commissioners were concerned about the possibility of individuals presently working on the H-bomb project being engaged in similar Soviet espionage activities.

Mr. LANE informed the commissioners that ROSENBERG had not divulged any information concerning his Soviet espionage activities and that he believed ROSENDERG would not divulge any information unless he received the death penalty and his wife, ETHEL received a substantial sentence.

I'm. LANE explained to the commissioners that in order to secure a severe sentence, it would be necessary to introduce into evidence the fact that DAVID CREDICIASS had turned over information concerning the atom bomb.

The commissioners asked Mr. LANE if the death sentence would be requested by the government at the trial. Mr. LANE stated that he did not know since he was only an Assistant United States Attorney from the Southern District of New York, and that he would have to obtain the answer from the Department of Justice.

Mr. LANE advised that he then called Mr. PEYTON FORD at the Department. Mr. FORD told him that he should tell the commissioners that the Department of Justice would recommend the death sentence in this case.

Mr. LANE said that at the termination of this conference, he and the commissioners proceeded to a closed meeting of the Joint Congressional Committee on Atomic Energy which was also attended by representatives of the Army and Mavy. Mr. LANE addressed this meeting and emplained the problem. He stated he was questioned by various Senators and Congressmen, including Senators HICKENLOOPER and BRICKER. Among the questions asked Mr. LANE, by the Senators and Congressmen, were:

- (1). Was the death penalty going to be recommended by the government?
- (2). Who was going to try the case and when?
- (3). That judge would preside at the trial?

Mr. LNES advised that Senator BRICER recommended that the Joint Cormittee should go on record of absolving the Department of any criticism for introducing into the trial classified material concerning the atom bomb.

Ltr. to Director, FII Mr. LANE stated that if the Atomic Energy Commission &classifies the information required for the trial, it will be solely for the introduction into evidence at the trial and the information will again he classified at the termination of the case. ir. LAME is now awaiting the final decision of the Atomic Energy Commission which he expects to receive during the week of February 12th The Bureau will be advised of the decision of the Atomic Energy Commission. Mr. LAME advised that the transcription of the discussions had before the Atomic Energy Commission and the Joint Congressional Committee will probably be furnished to the Department. It is suggested that the Bureau obtain a copy of these transcriptions for its further information. EDWARD SCHEIDT Special agent in Charge

## Office Memorandum • united states government

no : .. W. Beltone

DATE: June 17, 1953

FROM : .. A. bresseria

SUBJECT: [TVING YOU SHEELED, et al. ESPIOLEGE - R

There are set forth hereing ter the following questions which should be included enough those asked of Julius Mosenberg in the event he desires to cooperate.

(1) Identify for us by note the persons in your natwork from whom you received information on behalf of the Russians.

Devid Greencless also stated that Fosenberg told him he was obtaining information from a scientist who was being paid 200 per day as a consultant on the construction of the assum Dan in Scapt. (This is lossibly william Period who worked at an assistant to Progressor for January and who worked at an assistant to Progressor for January and who worked at an assistant to Progressor for January and who worked at an assistant to Progressor for January and who worked at an assistant to Progressor for January and who worked at an assistant to Progressor for January and who worked at an assistant to Progressor for January and who was employed on that projectly

two esotonage units of retire in the very ord city and that he

(5) . for your vire countront of your ecoluities?

Pecer term to that on June 18, 1950, then the if the formation for a series of the for interpolar to their of the for interpolar to the formation of his exertient became to the formation of the contract from the amount of the interpolar to the menny and the related the interpolar to the formation to the contract for the contract for the formation to the formation of the formati